

The site visits to a selection of approximately eight States are intended to allow a deeper understanding of why particular measures were selected, progress in implementing performance measures, and related challenges. This fieldwork will include semi-structured interviews and focus groups. The States will be selected based on the results of the survey and other study knowledge, to include a mix of locations in terms of geographic region, performance measures being used, and status of implementation. Semi-structured interviews with a selection of approximately eight employers are intended to more fully explore issues of interest that emerge from the employer survey responses. Employer interview

respondents will be selected based on survey responses as well as suggestions from NASWA regarding employers with particularly strong experience engaging with the workforce system.

II. Desired Focus of Comments

Currently, DOL is soliciting comments concerning the above data collection for the analysis of employer performance measurement approaches. DOL is particularly interested in comments that do the following:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency related to employer services, including whether

the information will have practical utility;

- evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the ICR to survey and fieldwork respondents, including the validity of the study approach and assumptions used;
- enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology (e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses).

TABLE 1—ESTIMATED TIME BURDEN

Information collection activity	Total number of respondents	Annual number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden per response (hours)	Annual burden hours
Survey—State WIOA Administrator	^a 43	14	1	0.75	11
Survey—Employer	^b 474	158	1	0.33	53
Site Visit Protocol—State Administrator	32	11	1	0.75	8
Site Visit Protocol—Local Administrator	8	3	1	0.75	2
Site Visit Protocol—State and Local Workforce Development Board Staff and Members	32	11	1	0.75	8
Site Visit Protocol—State and Local Staff Collecting Performance Data	16	5	1	0.75	4
Site Visit Protocol—American Job Center Staff	^c 40	13	1	1	13
Interview—Employer	8	3	1	0.75	2
Total	653	218	101

^aBased on an 80 percent response rate.
^bBased on a 50 percent response rate.
^cOne focus group of five per site visit.

III. Current Actions

At this time, the Department of Labor is requesting clearance for data collection via online surveys and fieldwork for the analysis of employer performance measurement approaches.

Type of review: New ICR

OMB Control Number: 1290–0NEW

Affected Public: Individuals working on state and local workforce development programs, Workforce Development Boards, and American Job Centers selected for surveys and fieldwork; HR department representatives of businesses selected for surveys and interviews.

Comments submitted in response to this request will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: February 27, 2018.

Molly Irwin,
Chief Evaluation Officer, U.S. Department of Labor.

[FR Doc. 2018–04546 Filed 3–5–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–HX–P

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

Office of Federal Procurement Policy

Value Engineering (VE)

AGENCY: Office of Federal Procurement Policy (OFPP), Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

ACTION: Proposed revision to Office of Management and Budget Circular No. A–131, “Value Engineering”.

SUMMARY: In accordance with OMB Memorandum M–17–26 “Reducing Burden for Federal Agencies by Rescinding and Modifying OMB Memoranda,” the Office of Federal

Procurement Policy (OFPP) within the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) is proposing to amend OMB Circular A–131, Value Engineering, to reduce the reporting burden on Federal agencies. Value Engineering is an effective technique for cutting waste and inefficiency—helping Federal agencies reduce acquisition costs, improve performance, enhance quality, and foster innovation. The proposal would eliminate the requirement for agencies to report annually to OMB and instead encourage agencies to share best practices, case studies and other information on the Acquisition Gateway (<https://hallways.cap.gsa.gov/login-information>) that can facilitate better understanding and use of this management tool within the Executive Branch.

DATES: Interested parties should submit comments within 30 days of this notice.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted through <http://www.regulations.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James Wade, OFPP, jwade@omb.eop.gov.

Background

Value Engineering (VE) is a management technique that is used to analyze activities and identify alternative processes for completing the activities at a lower cost. Industry first developed VE during World War II as a means of continuing production despite shortages of critical materials. The Federal Government subsequently adopted VE as a mechanism to improve efficiency. Policies adding the use of VE are set forth in OMB Circular A-131 at <https://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/whitehouse.gov/files/omb/circulars/A131/a131-122013.pdf>.

Use of VE supports the Administration's efforts to emphasize critical thinking and analysis instead of compliance activity and documentation. Although several Federal agencies have reported life-cycle savings in a broad range of acquisition programs, including defense, transportation, and construction projects, overall usage of VE by federal agencies has been limited. OFPP believes agency workforce awareness and consideration of VE can be improved by redirecting agency resources away from compliance reporting and towards information sharing with other agencies on use of the tool through the Acquisition Gateway (<https://hallways.cap.gsa.gov/login-information>). The Gateway provides federal buyers with a forum for improving government acquisition. The Acquisition Innovation Hub within the Gateway facilitates information sharing with tools and resources for acquisition professionals and other stakeholders. These actions are called for by OMB Memorandum M-17-26, "Reducing Burden for Federal Agencies by Rescinding and Modifying OMB Memoranda." Memorandum M-17-26 was designed to eliminate inefficiencies created by past OMB direction and improve the efficiency of government operations.

Accordingly, OFPP proposes the following changes to Circular A-131, as revised in December 2013:

1. Replace section 8, entitled "Reports to OMB" with the following new section 8: *Information Sharing*. Agencies are encouraged to share best practices, case studies and other information about their experience using VE on the Acquisition Gateway (<https://hallways.cap.gsa.gov/login-information>). The Gateway connects federal buyers with resources and tools to improve acquisition throughout the government. The Acquisition Innovation Hub within the Gateway facilitates information sharing between acquisition professionals and other

stakeholders. Sharing information on the Hub can help build greater awareness of VE and accelerate the pace of innovation and other benefits that can come from the use of this management tool.

2. Make the following conforming changes:

a. Delete paragraph f. from section 7, which refers to reporting.

b. Delete the Attachment to the Circular, which provides a format for reporting to OMB.

For a copy of OMB Circular A-131, go to <https://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/whitehouse.gov/files/omb/circulars/A131/a131-122013.pdf>. Although public comment is not required in the development of these changes, OMB welcomes input on the proposed amendments to the Circular described above and will consider feedback prior to finalizing changes to the Circular.

Lesley A. Field,

Deputy Administrator for Federal Procurement Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018-04445 Filed 3-5-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3110-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-334 and 50-412; NRC-2018-0041]

FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating Company; Beaver Valley Power Station; Unit Nos. 1 and 2; Use of Optimized ZIRLO™ Fuel Rod Cladding

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Exemption; issuance.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing an exemption in response to an April 9, 2017, request from FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating Company (FENOC), in order to use Optimized ZIRLO™ fuel rod cladding at the Beaver Valley Power Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2 (Beaver Valley).

DATES: The exemption was issued on March 6, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Please refer to Docket ID NRC-2018-0041 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking website:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2018-0041. Address questions about NRC dockets to Jennifer Borges; telephone: 301-287-9127; email: Jennifer.Borges@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the

individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select "ADAMS Public Documents" and then select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced (if it is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that it is mentioned in this document. In addition, for the convenience of the reader, the ADAMS accession numbers are provided in a table in the "Availability of Documents" section of this document.

- *NRC's PDR:* You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Tanya E. Hood, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; telephone: 301-415-1387, email: Tanya.Hood@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**I. Background**

The FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating Company (FENOC) is the holder of Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. 50-334 and 50-412, which authorize operation of Beaver Valley. The licenses provide, among other things, that the facilities are subject to all rules, regulations, and orders of the NRC now or hereafter in effect. The facilities consist of pressurized-water reactors located in Shippingport Borough on the Ohio River in Beaver County, Pennsylvania. The ZIRLO® corrosion model was based on a model originally developed for zircaloy-4 cladding. As utilities moved to increased fuel thermal duty associated with higher peaking factors, uprated core power, and longer cycle lengths, cladding corrosion has become one of the important factors in assessing the potential for increased fuel thermal duty.

II. Request/Action

Pursuant to title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) section 50.12, "Specific exemptions," the