

Incentivizing the Development of New Diagnostics

Sam Bozzette, MD, PhD

VP, Medical Affairs-Americas, bioMérieux

Adjunct Professor, UC San Diego and U North Carolina

PIONEERING DIAGNOSTICS



Mission: Contribute to the improvement of public health worldwide through *in vitro* diagnostics

- **Marcel Mérieux worked with Louis Pasteur in 1894**



- **Founder of Institut Mérieux in 1897,**
which led to the development of companies
**in human and
veterinary medicine,**
that are **major players**
in Public Health today



■ The clinical field (~ 82% of sales):

Improving population and patient health

- A complete range of diagnostic solutions for the diagnosis of:
 - infectious diseases
 - cardiovascular diseases
 - targeted cancers



■ The industrial field (~ 18% of sales):

Ensuring consumer safety, product quality and animal health

- Solutions for detecting microorganisms in order to prevent and track product contamination in:
 - food
 - biopharmaceuticals and cosmetics
- A complete range of veterinary diagnostic solutions for:
 - infectious diseases
 - fertility monitoring



***In vitro* diagnostics: playing an important role at a minimal cost**

- **60 to 70% of medical decisions are based on *in vitro* diagnostic tests, which make up only 2 to 3% of healthcare spending¹**
 - Pharmaceutical industry¹ €977 billion
 - *In vitro* diagnostics³ €51 billion

- **Improved diagnostics and use of tests should result in better:**
 - Patient care
 - Optimization of medical expenditures
 - Population health
 - Alignment with societal goals (e.g. re: AMR)

¹ The Lewin Group, Inc. *The Value of Diagnostics Innovation, Adoption and Diffusion into Healthcare* (July 2005)

Pharmaceuticals and Diagnostics are Different

Pharmaceuticals	Diagnostics
Much larger market	Much smaller market
Much more research support	Less research funding/activity
Reimbursements much larger (value-based pricing)	Reimbursement smaller (commodity)
Direct relationships with payers	Payer relationships via provider
Regulatory requirements greater	Regulatory requirements growing
HTAs needed	HTAs becoming more common

- **Underutilization of existing tests is a clinical and public health issue**
 - Insufficient ordering
 - Lack of awareness
 - Slow incorporation into clinical guidelines
 - Provider / patient attitudes
 - Narrow claims

- **Underdevelopment of new tests is also a clinical and public health issue**

- **Development limited by poor return on investment (ROI)**

■ Development is costly

- New platforms: 20 – 100M USD
- New tests on existing platforms: 10-20M
- Cost rising quickly in part because of need for
 - More challenging technologies and new platforms (e.g., POC)
 - Increasing need for complex clinical outcome studies for registration
 - HEOR and Health Technology Assessments

■ Returns are limited

- Underutilization
 - Market access activities new to diagnostics
 - Limited indications (e.g., to place)
 - Slow uptake of medical innovation into practice
 - Sense that testing is often only an additive expense (ie, compare to simply prescribing).
- Commodity as opposed to value-based pricing
 - Clinical value rarely considered in pricing
 - Sharp contrast to pharmaceuticals
 - Social value not considered

Example of Incentives that are Working

- **Tax credits (e.g., Research and Experimentation Tax Credit)**
- **Contracts and grants for development (e.g., NIH SBIRs, BMGF, IMI)**
- **Enhancing market reliability and size**
 - Advance purchases (e.g. BARDA contracts)
 - Direct subsidies (e.g., GenXpert TB)
- **Enhanced IP protection (e.g., as in GAIN Act for drugs)**
- **[Prizes (e.g. Longitude, NIH/BARDA Challenge)]**

Recommended Non-Financial Measures

■ Lower development costs

- Increased funding for relevant (“high-risk”) basic science
- Improve public infrastructure for clinical research in diagnostics
 - Enhance clinical trials networks
 - Increase availability of reagents
 - Bio-repositories

■ Optimize utilization

- Education
- Regulation
 - Require appropriate testing for reimbursement / quality measures
 - Proposed CMS rule on infection control and inappropriate antibiotic use is a good step

Recommended Non-Financial Measures (2)

- **Expanded IP protection**

- **Regulatory considerations**
 - Lower barriers to approval
 - Broader use of existing data
(with or without post-market studies)
 - Fast track approvals
 - Provisional approvals
 - More guidances on specific topics

Recommended Financial Incentives

■ Increased funding for R&D

- Sources: individual governments, Global Innovation Fund, foundations, hybrids (e.g., Innovative Medicines Initiative)
- Strong alignment of funding streams with national/international goals re AMR
- Including Health Economics and Outcomes Research needed for both marketplace and Health Technology Assessments

■ Guaranteed revenue

- Advance purchase agreements
- Market entry payments
- Top-off payments for sales in developing countries

■ Promote value-based pricing for relevant tests

- Incorporate clinical and societal value
- Base reimbursements on value/outcomes rather than cross-walk to existing tests
- Lack of direct connection with payers is a problem

Recommended Financial Incentives (2)

- **Prizes: not so much**
 - Amounts generally too small for industry
 - Bigger prizes introduce too much uncertainty into ROI
 - Winners might not be strong commercializers (e.g., academics, start-ups)

- **Enhanced tax credits**
 - Increase Research and Experimentation Tax Credit
 - Clinical trials tax credit for innovative / rapid diagnostics
(Reinvigorating Antibiotic and Diagnostics Innovation [READI] Act)

- **Permanent repeal of the device tax (or exemption for high value diagnostics)**

- **Omit key tests from PAMA-associated reimbursement cuts**



BIOMÉRIEUX



PIONEERING DIAGNOSTICS