## United States Department of Health and Human Services

## DEPARTMENTAL APPEALS BOARD

Civil Remedies Division

In the Case of:	)
	) Date:
Petitioner, - v	) ) ) Docket No. C )
The Inspector General.	) ) )
The Inspector General (I.G.) arguments Medicare, Medicaid, and other for years, because you were at section 1128(a)(2) of the Social	ues that he must exclude you from participating in ederally-funded health care programs for at least re convicted of a criminal offense that is described al Security Act and because there is evidence that on that the I.G. determined to impose.
The issues in this case are wheth	er:
1. The I.G. is required to	exclude you; and
2. The length of the exclureasonable.	usion that the I.G. determined to impose is
I. Were you convicted of a crit	minal offense?
Yes No	

Do you agree that you were convicted of a criminal offense?		
Yes No		
If you <b>disagree</b> , explain why you disagree. State which exhibits support your argument and explain why they do.		
II. Were you convicted of an offense for which exclusion is required?		
The I.G. argues that he must exclude you, because your conviction related to neglect or abuse of patients in connection with the delivery of a health care item or service.		
Do you disagree with the I.G.'s argument? Yes No		
If you <b>disagree</b> , explain why you disagree. State which exhibits support your argument and explain why they do.		
III. Is the length of your exclusion unreasonable? The I.G. argues that there is evidence relating to certain aggravating factors which he says supports the length of the exclusion.		
A. Do you disagree with the I.G.'s identification of aggravating factors in your case? $Yes$ $No$		

If you **disagree**, state which of the aggravating factors cited by the I.G. you believe are not present. Explain why you disagree. State which exhibits support your argument(s) and explain why they do.

B. If you agree that there is/are an aggravating factor or factors present in your case but believe that the exclusion is nonetheless not justified by the presence of that factor or those factors, explain your reasons for your argument. State which exhibits support your arguments and explain why they do.

C. Do you believe that a mitigating factor or factors exist(s) that support(s) reducing the length of your exclusion (before answering this question, read the list of potentially mitigating factors that is set forth at 42 C.F.R. § 1001.102(c))?

Yes	No

If you believe that a mitigating factor or factors exist(s), state what it is/they are and explain why the presence of the factor or factors should support reducing the length of your exclusion. State which exhibits support your argument(s) and explain why they do.

IV. Do you believe that an in-person hearing is necessary to decide your case?
Yes No
Do you have any testimony that you wish to offer at an in-person hearing?
Yes No
If you have testimony that you wish to offer provide the following:
1. The name of each witness whose testimony you want to offer.
2. A description of each witness' proposed testimony and an explanation of why you believe that the testimony relates to any of the arguments you want to offer in connection with items I, II, and III.
3. An explanation of why the proposed testimony does not duplicate something that is already stated in an exhibit.

V. Do you have any other arguments you wish to make? If so, please state them here. State which exhibits support your argument(s) and explain why they do.		
	Petitioner or Petitioner's Representative	
	Date:	