Department of Health and Human Services

DEPARTMENTAL APPEALS BOARD

Civil Remedies Division

Center for Tobacco Products,

Complainant

v.

Willie Smith d/b/a Rocky Bluff Grocery,

Respondent.

Docket No. C-14-1555 FDA Docket No. FDA-2014-H-1067

Decision No. CR3392

Date: September 29, 2014

INITIAL DECISION AND DEFAULT JUDGMENT

The Center for Tobacco Products (CTP) filed an Administrative Complaint (Complaint) against Respondent, Willie Smith d/b/a Rocky Bluff Grocery alleging facts and legal authority sufficient to justify imposing a civil money penalty of \$250. Respondent did not timely answer the Complaint, nor did Respondent request an extension of time within which to file an Answer. Therefore, I enter a default judgment against Respondent and order that Respondent pay a civil money penalty in the amount of \$250.

CTP began this case by serving a Complaint on Respondent and filing a copy of the Complaint with the Food and Drug Administration's (FDA) Division of Dockets Management. The Complaint alleges that Respondent's staff unlawfully sold tobacco products to minors and failed to verify, by means of photo identification containing a date of birth, that a tobacco purchaser was 18 years of age or older, thereby violating the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (Act) and its implementing regulations, found at 21 C.F.R. pt. 1140. CTP seeks a civil money penalty of \$250. On July 29, 2014, CTP served the Complaint on Respondent by United Parcel Service, pursuant to 21 C.F.R. §§ 17.5 and 17.7. In the Complaint and accompanying cover letter, CTP explained that within 30 days Respondent should pay the penalty, file an answer, or request an extension of time within which to file an answer. CTP warned Respondent that if it failed to take one of these actions within 30 days an Administrative Law Judge could issue an initial decision by default ordering Respondent to pay the full amount of the proposed penalty. 21 C.F.R. § 17.11.

Respondent has not filed an answer within the time provided by regulation, nor has it requested an extension. Therefore, pursuant to 21 C.F.R. § 17.11(a), I am required to issue an initial decision by default if the Complaint is sufficient to justify a penalty. Accordingly, I must determine whether the allegations in the Complaint establish violations of the Act.

For purposes of this decision, I assume the facts alleged in the Complaint are true. 21 C.F.R. § 17.11(a). Specifically, CTP alleges the following facts in its Complaint:

- Respondent owns Rocky Bluff Grocery, an establishment that sells tobacco products and is located at 2030 North Main Street, Sumter, South Carolina 29153. Complaint ¶ 3.
- During an inspection of Respondent's establishment on August 20, 2013, at approximately 5:43 PM, an FDA-commissioned inspector observed that "a person younger than 18 years of age was able to purchase a package of Newport Box cigarettes . . . [.]" The inspector also observed that "the minor's identification was not verified before the sale" Complaint ¶ 10.
- On October 17, 2013, CTP issued a Warning Letter to Respondent regarding the inspector's observations from August 20, 2013. The letter explained that the observations constituted violations of regulations found at 21 C.F.R. § 1140.14(a) and (b)(1), and that the named violations were not necessarily intended to be an exhaustive list of all violations at the establishment. The Warning Letter went on to state that if Respondent failed to correct the violations, regulatory action by the FDA or a civil money penalty action could occur and that Respondent is responsible for complying with the law. Complaint ¶ 10.
- In an October 18, 2013 letter, Willie Smith, the Respondent's owner, responded to the Warning Letter on Respondent's behalf. Mr. Smith "stated that he terminated the employee responsible for the sale and reminded the remaining employees of the importance of verifying [a] customer['][s] age before selling tobacco products." Complaint ¶ 11.

• During a subsequent inspection of Respondent's establishment conducted on December 16, 2013, FDA-commissioned inspectors documented that "a person younger than 18 years of age was able to purchase a package of Newport Box cigarettes . . . at approximately 4:19 PM." Complaint ¶ 1.

These facts establish that Respondent is liable under the Act. The Act prohibits misbranding of a tobacco product. 21 U.S.C. § 331(k). A tobacco product is misbranded if sold or distributed in violation of regulations issued under section 906(d) of the Act. 21 U.S.C. § 387c(a)(7)(B); 21 C.F.R § 1140.1(b). The regulations prohibit the sale of cigarettes to any person younger than 18 years of age. 21 C.F.R. § 1140.14(a). The regulations also require retailers to verify, by means of photo identification containing a purchaser's date of birth, that no cigarette purchasers are younger than 18 years of age. 21 C.F.R. § 1140.14(b)(1).

Taking the above alleged facts as true, Respondent violated the prohibition against selling cigarettes to persons younger than 18 years of age, 21 C.F.R. § 1140.14(a), on August 20, 2013 and December 16, 2013. Additionally, on August 20, 2013, Respondent violated the requirement that retailers verify, by means of photo identification containing a purchaser's date of birth, that no tobacco product purchasers are younger than 18 years of age. 21 C.F.R. § 1140.14(b)(1). Therefore, Respondent's actions constitute violations of law that merit a civil money penalty.

CTP has requested a fine of \$250, which is a permissible fine under the regulations. 21 C.F.R. § 17.2. Therefore, I find that a civil money penalty of \$250 is warranted and so order one imposed.

/s/

Steven T. Kessel Administrative Law Judge