

Consumer-Friendly Guidance Materials

Condition Nomination and Evidence Review Processes:

- Develop educational resources directed to newborn screening stakeholders on the nomination and evidence review processes.
 - Complexity of the Nomination Form
- Incorporate changes from the review of the evidence review.



Consumer-Friendly Guidance Materials

Products:

- **Condition Nomination FAQ**
- **Web-content (text and graphics)**

Questions/Comments?

Nominate a Condition

Conditions for consideration by the Committee for the Recommended Uniform Screening Panel (RUSP) (PDF - 190 KB) must be nominated.

The Committee encourages individuals and organizations to form multi-disciplinary teams to submit nominations for conditions to be considered for inclusion on the RUSP. Teams should include researchers and/or clinicians with expertise on the condition being nominated, advocacy and/or professional organizations with knowledge of issues relevant to newborn screening, and interested consumers/individuals.

To apply, the lead nominator or proponent should submit a nomination package.

Nomination Package Components

- Cover letter by the lead nominator that identifies all multi-disciplinary team members and their organizational affiliation(s), if applicable;
- Letters of support (from multi-disciplinary team members), if applicable;
- Completed **Conflict of Interest Disclosure Forms** (PDF - 63 KB)* from all team members;
- Responses to **Nomination Form** (PDF - 247 KB) and;
- Supporting data and scientific/clinical references to substantiate all responses to Nomination Form questions.

*A potential or actual conflict of interest exists when commitments and obligations are likely to be compromised by the nominator(s)'s other material interests or relationships (especially economic), particularly if those interests or commitments are not disclosed.

Next Steps

Nomination and Prioritization Workgroup

The Committee's Nomination and Prioritization (N&P) Workgroup reviews the completed Nomination Package and compiles a summary for Committee consideration. The Committee decides if sufficient evidence is available, and votes to assign, or not assign, the nominated condition to the external Condition Review Workgroup. Nominators whose conditions are not assigned to the Condition Review Workgroup are provided with feedback.

Condition Review Workgroup

The external Condition Review Workgroup completes a systematic evidence-based review, provides updates, and presents a final report to the Committee on assigned conditions.

Committee Deliberations and Vote