

Rockville, MD 20857

May 17, 2021

Mr. Derek L. Asay Senior Director, Government Strategy Eli Lilly and Company Lilly Corporate Center 893 Delaware Street Indianapolis, IN 46285

Dear Mr. Asay:

The Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) has completed its review of Eli Lilly and Company's (Lilly) policy that places restrictions on 340B pricing to covered entities that dispense medications through pharmacies under contract, unless the covered entity lacks an inhouse pharmacy. After review of this policy and an analysis of the complaints HRSA has received from covered entities, HRSA has determined that Lilly's actions have resulted in overcharges and are in direct violation of the 340B statute.

Section 340B(a)(1) of the Public Health Service (PHS) Act requires that manufacturers "shall...offer each covered entity covered outpatient drugs for purchase at or below the applicable ceiling price if such drug is made available to any other purchaser at any price." This requirement is not qualified, restricted, or dependent on how the covered entity chooses to distribute the covered outpatient drugs. Nothing in the 340B statute grants a manufacturer the right to place conditions on its fulfillment of its statutory obligation to offer 340B pricing on covered outpatient drugs purchased by covered entities. Section 340B(a)(1) of the PHS Act also requires manufacturers that have signed a Pharmaceutical Pricing Agreement (PPA) and PPA addendum to comply with these requirements. Lilly is bound by the terms of the PPA and must ensure that the 340B ceiling price is available to all covered entities.

Also consistent with section 340B(a)(1) of the PHS Act, manufacturers are expected to provide the same opportunity for 340B covered entities and non-340B purchasers to purchase covered outpatient drugs. This extends to the manner in which 340B drugs are made available to covered entities (e.g., access to 340B ceiling prices through wholesalers that make products available at non-340B ceiling prices). The 340B Program Ceiling Price and Civil Monetary Penalties Final Rule (CMP final rule)<sup>2</sup> further specifies that a manufacturer's failure to provide 340B ceiling prices through the manufacturer's distribution agreements with wholesalers may violate a manufacturer's obligation under the 340B statute. HRSA has made plain, consistently since the issuance of its 1996 contract pharmacy guidance, that the 340B statute requires manufacturers to honor such purchases regardless of the dispensing mechanism.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 82 Fed. Reg. 1210, 1230 (Jan. 5, 2017); 42 C.F.R. §10.11(b)(2)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 82 Fed. Reg. 1210, 1230 (Jan. 5, 2017)

Lilly purports that the rationale for its restrictive action is to prevent diversion and duplicate discounts. The 340B statute provides a mechanism by which a manufacturer can address these concerns. Specifically, the manufacturer must (1) conduct an audit and (2) submit a claim through the Administrative Dispute Resolution process as described in section 340B(d)(3)(A) of the PHS Act. The 340B statute does not permit a manufacturer to impose industry-wide, universal restrictions.

For the reasons set forth above, Lilly must immediately begin offering its covered outpatient drugs at the 340B ceiling price to covered entities through their contract pharmacy arrangements, regardless of whether they purchase through an in-house pharmacy. Lilly must comply with its 340B statutory obligations and the 340B Program's CMP final rule and credit or refund all covered entities for overcharges that have resulted from Lilly's policy. Lilly must work with all of its distribution/wholesale partners to ensure all impacted covered entities are contacted and efforts are made to pursue mutually agreed upon refund arrangements.

Continued failure to provide the 340B price to covered entities utilizing contract pharmacies, and the resultant charges to covered entities of more than the 340B ceiling price, may result in CMPs as described in the CMP final rule. The CMP final rule states that any manufacturer with a PPA that knowingly and intentionally charges a covered entity more than the ceiling price for a covered outpatient drug may be subject to a CMP not to exceed \$5,000 for each instance of overcharging.<sup>3</sup> Assessed CMPs would be in addition to repayment for an instance of overcharging as required by section 340B(d)(1)(B)(ii) of the PHS Act. The Department of Health and Human Services will determine whether CMPs are warranted based on Lilly's willingness to comply with its obligations under section 340B(a)(1) of the PHS Act.

HRSA requests that Lilly provide an update on its plan to restart selling, without restriction, covered outpatient drugs at the 340B price to covered entities that dispense medications through contract pharmacy arrangements by **June 1, 2021**, to <u>340Bpricing@hrsa.gov</u>.

Thank you for your commitment to the 340B Program.

Sincerely,

/Diana Espinosa/

Diana Espinosa Acting Administrator

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Note, the Department of Health and Human Services publishes inflation-adjusted increases for various CMPs annually. The 2020 inflation adjusted penalty for 340B overcharging violations is \$5,883. 85 Fed. Reg. 2,869, 2,873 (Jan. 17, 2020).