RUSP Condition Nomination and Evidence Review Process

Approach and Timeline



April 23, 2019

Joseph A. Bocchini, Jr. MD Professor and Chairman Department of Pediatrics Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center – Shreveport Chairperson, ACHDNC

March 2019: EAP Meeting

Expert Advisory Panel met to discuss the ACHDNC's condition review process

- Four focus areas
 - Nomination
 - Systematic Evidence-based Review
 - **Decision Matrix**
 - Review of Current Conditions on the RUSP

Timeline

- April 2019: Systematic evidence review
- August 2019: Values, cost assessment, population-level modeling, public health system assessment
- November 2019: Decision matrix and review of conditions on the RUSP
- February 2020: Initial nomination package; confirm final changes to the process

Goals for Today

 Focus: Systematic Evidence Review
What additional types of information should be included in the evidence review?

Goals for Today Continued

Systematic Evidence Review Topics

- Case definitions at the start of the review process and the need to standardize terminology regarding primary and secondary targets and incidental findings
- Pre-specifying outcomes and the use of intermediate outcomes (e.g., biomarkers)
- Range of treatments that should be included
- Grading the evidence
- Identifying and synthesizing unpublished evidence/data

