# Long-Term Follow-up After Newborn Screening: Environmental Scan

Alex R. Kemper, MD, MPH, MS K.K. Lam, PhD August 2, 2018





#### **Defining LTFU**

- All care and special services that individuals receive after being diagnosed with a condition through NBS
- Program evaluation and research



# Four Components of Long-Term Follow-up

- Care coordination through a medical home
- Evidence-based treatment
- Continuous quality improvement
- New knowledge discovery



#### Four Components of Long-Term Follow-up

- Care coordination through a medical home
- Evidence-based treatment
- Continuous quality improvement
- New knowledge discovery





#### **Key Aspects to Consider**

- Wide variety of stakeholders
  - Patients and families
  - Public health
  - Specialty and primary care
  - Payers
  - Drug and device manufacturers
  - The wide variety of others that patients and families intersect with over the lifespan
- Variation in conditions
  - Epidemiology, treatment, timing
  - Accessibility of experts
  - Cost
  - Knowledge
- Funding for LTFU
- Authorization



#### **Central Themes**

- It can be complicated to know how to get to where you want to be
- Often the route is indirect and requires multiple lines





#### **Objective**

 To conduct a landscape review to help inform the ACHDNC about opportunities for improving LTFU



#### **ACHDNC LTFU Activities**

- What questions should newborn screening long-term follow-up be able to answer? Genet Med. 2011;861-865
  - Patient-family level
  - Medical care/medical research level
  - State/national level
- Some examples from the LTFU subcommittee
  - Standardized terminology
  - Quality metrics
  - Models, including information exchange



# As you listen to this overview

- Focus on activities relevant to newborn screening
- Not condition specific



#### **Methods**

#### Technical Expert Panel and Stakeholder Input

| Technical Expert Panel                       | Stakeholder Group       |
|--|-------------------------|
| Amy Brower, PhD                              |                         |
| American College of Medical Genetics         | Researcher              |
| Christine S. Brown, MS                       |                         |
| National PKU Alliance                        | Consumer                |
| Susan A. Berry, MD                           |                         |
| Div of Genetics & Metabolism, Univ of MN     | Researcher              |
| Marci Sontag, PhD                            |                         |
| Director, NewSTEPS 360                       | Researcher              |
| Sylvia Mann, MS, CGC                         |                         |
| Genomics Section, Hawaii Dept of Health      | State Public Health     |
| Jennifer M. Kwon, MD                         |                         |
| Univ of Rochester – Neurology and Pediatrics | Specialty Care Provider |
| Tony Steyermark, PhD                         |                         |
| Newborn Child Follow-Up Program              | State Public Health     |
| Minnesota Department of Health (MDH)         |                         |



# Findings from the TEP

- Most NBS are involved with LTFU, but the extent and nature is variable
  - Sometimes LTFU is imbedded and indirect (e.g., referral contracts with specialists)
  - The absence of responsibility or authority limits what NBS can do
- The time horizon is challenging
- Engaging families is critical



#### **Horizon Scan: Categories**

- Recommendations about how to conduct LTFU
- Prospective studies of data collected by NBS programs for specific purpose of LTFU
- 3. Prospective studies of data collected outside of NBS programs for reasons other than LTFU
- 4. Retrospective studies of existing data collected for research or non-research purposes



#### Conduct of Long-Term Follow-up

- How it should function
  - Questions LTFU should answer
  - Business Process Analysis
  - Data requirements for Registries
- Specific recommendations for making LTFU more feasible
  - Linking screening results from point-of-care testing
  - Linking bloodspot collection device serial numbers to birth certificates
- Regional collaborative partnerships, describing approaches to LTFU
- Newborn screening program surveys
  - Data collection
  - Follow-up activities
  - Barriers



# Conduct of Long-Term Follow-up: Lessons

- LTFU is well defined
- Models for conducting LTFU, including illustrating data exchange
- Focus of the Regional Collaboratives
- Concerns about funding and sustainability often raised
- Quality metrics can promote meaningful LTFU. There is a tension between condition-specific vs. more general measures



#### **Prospective Studies in NBS**

- Wide range of conditions conducted in many different countries
- Study design
  - Most large cohort studies following individuals identified through newborn screening, but some included a comparison population
- Most go up to about 6 years of age
- All focused on predictors of outcomes



# Prospective Studies in NBS: Lessons

- Data collected by newborn screening programs for LTFU can be used to evaluate specific hypotheses
- More information about how these projects were developed and maintained would be helpful



# Prospective Studies of Data Collected Outside of Newborn Screening

- One study of hearing screening in the UK was captured in the search
- However, there are disease-specific registries that collect long-term follow-up data that can be linked back to newborn screening, for example:
  - Pompe disease registry (Sanofi Genzyme)
  - Cystic Fibrosis Registry (CF Foundation)



# Prospective Studies of Data Collected Outside of Newborn Screening: Lessons

- Prospective studies are uncommon
- Disease-specific registries might be under-utilized for prospective research



# **Retrospective Studies**

- Two general types
  - Chart audits in treatment centers
  - Data linkage studies
    - Newborn screening data
    - Vital records (e.g., birth and death certificates)
    - Healthcare claims data
    - Etc.



# Retrospective Studies: Lessons

- Efficient, especially for rare disorders, but
  - Time delay
  - Linkage can be difficult
  - Claims data can be incomplete or inaccurate
  - Better knowledge of the association between intermediate measures and long-term outcomes would be helpful



# Examples of Long-Term Follow-up Activities

Not meant to be complete but illustrative



#### **State Activities**

- California: Web-based Screening Information System, which among other functions than facilitate referral tracking and coordination with specialty-care follow-up centers. These centers provide follow-up through 5years of age using an Annual Patient Summary
- Colorado (CRCSN): Legislative requirement mandating reporting of birth defects and other newborn disorders, facilitating linkage of data sets (vital statistics, hospital data)



#### **State Activities**

- Illinois: Annual NBS report based on data collected for children through 15 years of age
- Minnesota: Dedicated long-term follow-up supervisor; engaged in tracking a wide arrange of outcomes, including parent-reported developmental status

#### Regional and National LTFU Activities

- Focus of the National Coordinating Center and the Regional Genetics Networks (e.g., data collection, evaluation and quality improvement)
- NBS Connect patient registry/portal, focusing on inherited metabolic disorders
- Newborn Screening and Translational Research Network facilitates research
- Longitudinal Pediatric Data Resource, developed by the NBSTRN, data repository for specific disorders (e.g., SMA) built on REDCap)
- NewSTEPS data reporting infrastructure and specific shortterm quality indicators



# **Summary**

- Two distinct but related aims
  - Assuring care
  - Program evaluation and research
- Opportunities
  - Standardizing LTFU measures, focus on quality metrics
    - Patient
    - Population
    - System
  - Expanded use of registries
  - Expanded support for retrospective research
    - Datasets, linkages, risk of bias assessment



#### **Questions?**

