

# Report from Evidence Review

*Advisory Committee on Heritable Disorders in  
Newborns and Children*

May 14, 2010

Alex R. Kemper, MD, MPH, MS

Department of Pediatrics, Duke University



# Recent Progress and Activities

- **Hemoglobin H (Hb H) Disease**
  - Final review submitted April 2010
  - Presentation will focus on
    - Update of evidence
    - Summary of key considerations for the Advisory Committee
- **Critical Congenital Cyanotic Heart Disease (CCCHD)**
  - Preliminary review submitted April 2010
  - Presentation will focus on
    - Summary of test characteristics of pulse oximetry
    - Improvements in the process of evidence review based on our experience, other approaches to review, and recommendations from the Advisory Committee
- Overview paper re ERG procedures published in *Genetics in Medicine*
- **Krabbe Disease**
  - Manuscript submitted to *Genetics in Medicine*

# Workgroup Team Members

## Key authors:

- Alex R. Kemper, MD, MPH, MS, Duke University
- Alixandra A. Knapp, MS, MGH/Harvard
- Danielle Metterville, MS, MGH/Harvard

## Program director:

- James M. Perrin, MD, MGH/Harvard

## Staff:

- Marsha Browning, MD, MPH, MGH/Harvard
- Anne Comeau, PhD, New England Newborn Screening Program/UMass Medical School
- Nancy Green, MD, Columbia University
- Lisa Prosser, PhD, University of Michigan Health System
- Denise Queally, JD, Consumer (PKU Family Coalition)

# Hb H Disease Overview

- Inherited hemoglobinopathy, type of alpha-thalassemia
- Caused by deletions and/or nondeletional mutations of 3 of the 4  $\alpha$ -globin genes
- Variable clinical course
  - May include anemia, hepatosplenomegaly, cholelithiasis, or growth retardation
- Certain mutations associated with worse health outcomes

# Alpha-Thalassemia Overview

Description & Terminology	$\alpha 1$ and $\alpha 2$ Genes Chromosome 16	Genotype
Normal	4 functional $\alpha$ -globin genes	$\alpha\alpha/\alpha\alpha$
Silent carrier	1 deletion	$-\alpha/\alpha\alpha$
Alpha-thalassemia trait	2 deletions	$-\alpha/-\alpha$ $--/\alpha\alpha$
<b>Hb H disease (deletional)</b>	<b>3 deletions</b>	<b><math>--/-\alpha</math></b>
<b>Hb H disease (nondeletional)</b>	<b>2 deletions + 1 mutation (T)</b>	<b><math>--/\alpha^T\alpha</math></b>
<b>Example: Hb H disease with CS*</b>	<b>2 deletions + CS mutation (<math>\alpha 2</math> 142 TAA <math>\rightarrow</math> CAA or Ter <math>\rightarrow</math> Gln)</b>	<b><math>--/\alpha^{CS}\alpha</math></b>
Hb Bart's hydrops fetalis	4 deletions	$--/--$

\*CS = Constant Spring

# Current Status of Hb H Screening

- Currently a secondary target
  - Conditions that are part of the differential diagnosis of a core panel condition or that would be identified in the process of screening for the core panel conditions
- At least 8 states report Hb Bart's (Jelili Ojodu, MPH; APHL)

# Methods of Evidence Review

- Systematic literature review
  - Summarizes evidence from published studies
  - Presented at January 2010 AC Meeting
  - Updated for this meeting (2 additional case series related to natural history)
- Consultation with multiple newborn screening and Hb H disease experts to identify relevant unpublished data

# Materials Included in Final Review

- Detailed literature review methods
- Summary of evidence from literature review and expert unpublished data
- Tables highlighting key data from abstracted articles
- Table of studies excluded because they report 4 or fewer cases
- Bibliography



# Systematic Literature Review

- January 1989 – March 2010
  - Medline, OVID In-Process and Other Non-Indexed Citations
  - English language only
  - Human studies only
- Reviewed references from nomination form and bibliography of review papers
  - **1485** abstracts selected for preliminary review
  - **90** articles selected for in-depth review
  - **21** articles met all inclusion criteria for abstraction

# Papers Meeting Review Criteria

Study Design	Number of papers
Experimental intervention	0
Cohort study	0
Case-control study	1
Case series	14
Sample size $\leq 10$	0
Sample size 11 to 50	3
Sample size 51 to 100	2
Sample size $\geq 101$	9
Economic Evaluation	0
Cross-sectional study	6
Total studies	21

# Quality Assessment: Natural History

Type of evidence	Number of articles
<b>Total (two articles overlap with screening)</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Incidence (cases per 100,000), average within the U.S.</b>	<b>3</b>
Data obtained from whole-population screening or comprehensive national surveys of clinically detected cases.	2
Ia. As in I but more limited in geographical coverage or methodology.	1
Extrapolated from class I data for non-U.S. populations.	0
Estimated from number of cases clinically diagnosed in U.S.	0
<b>Genotype-Phenotype correlation</b>	<b>14</b>
Data from retrospective screening studies in U.S. or similar population.	0
Data from systematic studies other than whole population screening.	10
Estimated from the known clinical features of the condition as described for individual cases or short series.	2
<b>Other natural history of disease</b>	<b>3</b>

*Adapted from Pandor et al. 2004, Pollitt et al. 1997*

# Natural History: Incidence

Incidence	Method	Citation
1/15,000 for Hb H disease	Newborn screening in California from January 1998 to June 2000	Lorey et al. 2001
9/100,000 for Hb H disease 0.6/100,000 for Hb H with CS	Newborn screening in California from January 1998 to June 2006	Michlitsch et al. 2009

# Deletional vs. Nondeletional Hb H Disease

<b>Region</b>	<b>Citation</b>	<b>Deletional Hb H disease</b>	<b>Nondeletional Hb H disease</b>
Hong Kong	Chen et al, 2000	87/114 (76%)	27/114 (24%)
Northern Thailand	Charoenkwan et al, 2005	44/102 (43%)	58/102 (57%)
Mediterranean area	Origa et al, 2007	216/251 (86%)	36/251 (14%)
Greece	Kanavakis et al, 2000 (14 subjects not counted with two non-deletions)	41/61 (67%)	20/61 (33%)
Sardinia	Gallano et al, 1992 (1 subject not counted with two non-deletions)	130/154 (84%)	24/154 (16%)
California, USA*	Lorey et al, 2001	69/89 (77.5%)	20/89 (22.5%)

\*Population-based study, remaining studies are from clinically identified populations

# Deletional vs. Nondeletional Hb H Disease

- Children with nondeletional Hb H disease
  - Diagnosed at younger ages
  - Higher rates of anemia and blood transfusion
  - Higher rates of hepatosplenomegaly

# Natural History: Case Series

- *No population or screen-positive series*
- Newborn
  - Anemia, jaundice, hepatosplenomegaly (CS)
  - Reports of Hb H hydrops fetalis
- Infancy and childhood
  - Pallor, growth retardation, anemia
  - Pulmonary function defect, mild cardiac anomalies, hepatosplenomegaly
- Adult
  - Iron overload, cholelithiasis

# Quality Assessment: Screening Test

Type of evidence	Number of articles
<b>Total (two articles overlaps with condition/natural history)</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Overall sensitivity and specificity of screening</b>	<b>1</b>
Data obtained from screening programs in U.S. population or similar.	1
Data from systematic studies other than from whole population screening.	0
Estimated from the known biochemistry of the condition.	0
<b>False positive rate</b>	<b>0</b>
Data obtained from screening programs in U.S. population or similar.	0
Data from systematic studies other than from whole population screening.	0
Estimated from the known biochemistry of the condition.	0
<b>Repeat specimen rate</b>	<b>0</b>
Data obtained from screening programs in U.S. population or similar.	0
Data from systematic studies other than whole population screening.	0
Estimated from the known biochemistry of the condition.	0
<b>Second-tier testing</b>	<b>2</b>
Data obtained from screening programs in U.S. population or similar.	1
Data from systematic studies other than whole population screening.	0
Estimated from the known biochemistry of the condition.	1
<b>Other screening test characteristics</b>	<b>1</b>

*Adapted from Pandor et al. 2004, Pollitt et al. 1997*



# California Screening Method

- **First tier:** Detect elevated Hb Bart's levels by HPLC
- **Second tier:** Confirmatory diagnostic  $\alpha$ -globin genotyping for newborns with elevated Hb Bart's

# Development of California Hb H Disease Newborn Screening Program

- “Trial period” June 1996 – September 1999
- Measure Hb Bart’s level by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)
- Cutoff Hb Bart’s level set at 14% in June 1996
  - Lowest Hb Bart’s in newborn confirmed to have Hb H disease was 27%
- Cutoff Hb Bart’s level increased to 25% in August 1998
- Hb H Disease newborn screening mandated in October 1999

# Diagnosis

- Multiple strategies for  $\alpha$ -globin genotyping have been described
- For example, the California newborn screening program uses multiplexed gap-PCR assay to detect common deletional and nondeletional  $\alpha$ -thalassemia mutations in their second tier screening

# California Screening Experience

From Lorey et al. 2001

January 1998 -  
June 2000 data

Total newborns screened	1,320,000
Newborns with elevated Hb Bart's	101
Hb H disease	89
$\alpha$ -Thalassemia trait	9
$\alpha$ -Thalassemia silent carrier	1
Hb Bart's hydrops fetalis	1
Normal	1

Because most newborns with Hb Bart's levels *below* the cutoff value did not have confirmatory testing, an undetected case of Hb H disease in this range could not be ruled out

# Quality Assessment: Treatment

Type of evidence	Number of articles
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Effectiveness of treatment</b>	<b>0</b>
I. Well-designed RCTs.	0
I. Well-designed RCTs.	0
II-1. Well-designed controlled trials with pseudo randomization or no randomization.	0
II-2. Well-designed cohort studies:	0
A. prospective with concurrent controls	0
B. prospective with historical control	0
C. retrospective with concurrent controls.	0
II-3. Well-designed case-control (retrospective) studies.	0
III. Large differences from comparisons between times and/or places with and without intervention	0
IV. Opinions of respected authorities based on clinical experience, descriptive studies and reports of expert committees.	0
<b>Other treatment characteristics</b>	<b>0</b>

*Adapted from Pandor et al. 2004, Pollitt et al. 1997*

# Follow-up and Treatment

- No peer-reviewed publications regarding presymptomatic treatment were identified
- No data published on follow-up of children identified in California

# Economic Evidence

- No peer-reviewed publications relating to costs or cost-effectiveness of screening and treatment identified

# Unpublished Data

- Contacted Hb H disease experts identified through:
  - Literature review
  - Discussion within workgroup
  - Recommendation by other experts
- Included experts from different Hb H disease domains:
  - Newborn screening
  - Clinical care



# Experts & Advocates – Survey / Interview Completed

- Sylvia Au, MS, CGC
- Thomas Coates, MD
- Alan Cohen, MD
- Michael Glass, MS
- Patrick Hopkins
- Carolyn Hoppe, MD
- Ho-Wen Hsu, MD
- Fred Lorey, PhD
- Jennifer Marcy, MS, CGC
- Ellis Neufeld, MD, PhD
- Sarah Scollon, MS, CGC
- Sylvia Singer, MD
- Elliott Vichinsky, MD
- David Weatherall, MD, FRCP, FRS
- Kelley Woodruff, MD

# Unpublished Data

- Experts corroborated literature findings
- No other data on the impact of pre- or early symptomatic treatment
- No systematic follow up data on any screen positive populations
- Insufficient data for economic analysis

# Other State Screening Programs

State Lab	Starting Year	1 <sup>st</sup> tier	1 <sup>st</sup> tier cutoff	2 <sup>nd</sup> tier	Reporting and confirmatory testing
Hawaii	July 1997	IEF	Hb Bart's	HPLC	Hb Bart's $\geq 25\%$ - considered screen positive
Iowa	Iowa- 1988 North Dakota- 2003 South Dakota- 2007	IEF	Visually abnormal	HPLC Variant	$10\% \leq \text{Hb Bart's} < 25\%$ - reported  Hb Bart's $\geq 25\%$ - reported and follow up team is alerted that this infant may have Hb H disease

IEF=Iso-electric focusing

# Other State Screening Programs

State Lab	Starting Year	1 <sup>st</sup> tier	1 <sup>st</sup> tier cutoff	2 <sup>nd</sup> tier	Reporting and confirmatory testing
Missouri	1989	IEF	Two distinct Hb Bart's bands easily visible	HPLC	<p><b>3% ≤ Hb Bart's &lt; 13%</b> - Within Normal Limits + Low Level Bart's Comment</p> <p><b>13% ≤ Hb Bart's &lt; 20%</b> - Abnormal: Slightly Elevated Hb Bart's, DNA DBS testing at Children's Hospital of Oakland Research Inst (CHORI)</p> <p><b>Hb Bart's ≥ 20%</b> - Presumptive positive for Hb H disease, referred to Hemoglobinopathy Center and DBS DNA testing at CHORI</p>
Washington	1991	IEF	Hb Bart's bands	HPLC	<p><b>6.5% ≤ Hb Bart's ≤ 18%</b> - reported as possible α-thalassemia trait</p> <p><b>Hb Bart's &gt; 18%</b> - reported as possible Hb H Disease, physician notified and sent information on follow up</p>

# Unpublished Data: California

- Common mutation panel identifies the majority of cases of Hb H disease in the California newborn population
- If no mutation detected:
  - DNA sequencing for other nondeletional mutation (99% sensitivity)
  - Multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) for rare deletions

# Unpublished Data: Hawaii

- 222,982 newborns screened in Hawaii from July 1997 – October 2009
- Newborn's physician receives test results
- Positive results accompanied by recommendation for referral to state Hemoglobinopathy Clinic for genetic counseling and  $\alpha$ -globin testing
- Only about 25% of the 214 screen-positive children referred
- In 2008, Hawaii agreed to cover additional costs of the newborn's parents' genetic testing, and referrals have increased
- 48 confirmed cases of Hb H disease

# Summary: Natural History and Screening

- Published natural history evidence
  - Studies on clinically identified populations
  - Older children and adults
- Children with nondeletional Hb H disease appear to have more jaundice, hepatosplenomegaly, growth retardation and blood transfusions than those with deletional Hb H disease
- California data suggest feasibility of newborn screening by HPLC for elevated Hb Bart's
- Data from other states suggest feasibility of IEF as a first tier screening method
- Validated methods for diagnosis of Hb H disease by confirmatory genotyping exist

# Evidence Gaps

- What proportion of children with Hb H disease would benefit from condition-specific treatment?
  - Lack of systematic follow up data on screen-positive children
- How does this vary across the US?
- Does early identification improve the health of identified children?
- What harms are associated with delay in diagnosis?
- What is the cost-effectiveness of newborn screening for Hb H disease?



# Key Questions for the Advisory Committee

- What is the threshold for moving a target from secondary to core?
- What are the potential advantages for such a move?
- What are the potential harms for such a move?
- What are the expectations for newborn screening laboratories, public health, clinicians, and families after such a move?

# Questions for the ERW